1 JUDGE DAVID G. ESTUDILLO 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 6 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR22-5139-DGE 8 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED 9 DEFENSE MOTION TO CONTINUE v. TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL 10 JOAO RICARDO DEBORBA, MOTIONS DUE DATE 11 Defendant. 12 THE COURT has considered the defendant's unopposed motion to continue the 13 trial date and pretrial motions deadline and the facts and circumstances described 14 therein, which are hereby incorporated as findings of fact, and finds that: 15 (a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a 16 continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time 17 necessary for effective preparation due to counsel's need for more time to review the 18 evidence, consider possible defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set 19 forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); and 20 (b) a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in 21 a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i); and 22 (c) the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendant 23 has requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence 24 material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses; and 25 26